2.5 GHz clocked quantum key distribution over **379 km**

Alberto Boaron,¹ Gianluca Boso,¹ Davide Rusca,¹ Cédric Vulliez,¹ Claire Autebert,¹ Misael Caloz,¹ Matthieu Perrenoud, Gaëtan Gras,¹ Félix Bussières,¹ Ming-Jun Li,² Daniel Nolan,² Nicolas Gisin,¹ Anthony Martin,¹ and Hugo Zbinden¹

¹ Group of Applied Physics, University of Geneva, Switzerland

² Corning Incorporated, United States

QCrypt2018 | 29 august 2018 | ArXiv 1807.03222





Journal of cryptology 5, 3 (1992)



What does ultimately limit the transmission distance in QKD ?

the acquisition time



Protocol

- Time-bin encoding
- Decoy-state method

basis, bit	state	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	
Z , 0	0 angle				
Z , 1	1 angle				
X, 0	$ +\rangle$				
X , 1	$ -\rangle$				

Phys. Rev. A72, 012326 (2005)



1-decoy versus 2-decoy

1-decoy (i.e. two levels in total) is more efficient for most experimental settings !



Poster: D. Rusca et al. *The 1-decoy state protocol: the best choice for practical QKD* Paper: Appl. Phys. Lett. 112, 171104 (2018)

DE GENÈVE

Protocol

- Time-bin encoding
- Decoy-state method



Phys. Rev. A 74, 042342 (2006)



4 states, 4 outcomes ↓ 3 states, 3 outcomes

Security proof available on the ArXiv | 1808.08259



1. all fibred high repetition rate source

- Phase-randomized DFB laser:
 - Repetition rate: 2.5 GHz
 - Pulse duration: 30 ps
- High speed integrated intensity modulator: 5 GHz





→ requires dispersion compensation fibre: -140 ps/nm/km

CORNING



2. quantum channel: ultra low-loss fibres

Corning ULL-28[®] ultra low loss fibre: 0.16 dB/km Attenuation including connectors and splices: 0.17 dB/km







3. detectors

Superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors Amorphous molybdenum silicide Temperature: 0.8 K

Dark counts: < 0.3 count/s Efficiency: 50% (at low dark counts rates) Timing jitter: 30 ps







QBER and stability over time

Channel length fluctuations Interferometers phase fluctuations





FM

FM

Bob

BS

SNSPDs



length	attn	μ_1	μ_2	block size	block time	QBER Z	$\phi_{\sf Z}$	RKR	SKR
(km)	(dB)				(h)	(%)	(%)	(bps)	(bps)
251.7	42.7	0.49	0.18	$8.2 \cdot 10^6$	0.20	0.5	2.2	$12 \cdot 10^3$	$4.9 \cdot 10^3$
302.1	51.3	0.48	0.18	$8.2\cdot 10^6$	1.17	0.4	3.7	$1.9\cdot 10^3$	$0.79\cdot 10^3$
354.5	60.6	0.35	0.15	$6.2\cdot 10^6$	14.8	0.7	1.8	117	62
404.9	69.3	0.35	0.15	$4.1 \cdot 10^{5}$	6.67	1.0	4.3	17	6.5
421.1	71.9	0.30	0.13	$2.0 \cdot 10^5$	$24.2 (12.7^*)$	2.1	12.8	$2.3 (4.5^*)$	$0.25 (0.49^*)$



How close are we from an ideal system ?



(1) BB84, Fröhlich et al., Optica 4, 163 (2017)
(2) COW, Korzh et al., Nat. Phot. 9, 163 (2015)
(3) MDI, Yin et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 190501 (2016)

Ideal system

- BB84 with decoy state
- 2.5 GHz repetition rate
- No detector noise
- 100% detection efficiency
- Same block size than exp. points



Increasing the repetition rate ?

• Limits of the modulation capability

Classical communications Max 40 GHz repetition rate Only 3 dB modulation required

QKD Need for phase-randomized pulses High extinction



Ultimate limit

- BB84 with decoy state
- 40 GHz repetition rate
- o Hz dark counts
- 100% detection efficiency
- 1 day acquisition time





Conclusion

A system mainly based on of-the-shelf components

- A QKD transmitter based on commercially available components combined with some in-house-made electronics
- Commercially available ultra low-loss fibres
- In-house-made SNSPDs (but almost commercially available)

Transmission of secret keys over 421 km of optical fibre



Thank you for you attention !

Quantum technologies group | leader: Hugo Zbinden



